



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,834	11/28/2001	Ralph Barclay Ross	CAF-28502/03	1383	
25006	7590 04/28/2003				
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE			EXAMINER		
ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, PC 280 N OLD WOODARD AVE			GORDON, STEPHEN T		
SUITE 400 BIRMINGHA	M. MI 48009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3612		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	Applicant(s)	
	09/889,834	Poss	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	Confirmation No.
	Gordon	3612	14
- The MAILING DATE of this communicati	appears nth coversh tb	neath th corresp nde	nc address -
P riod for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL COMMUNICATION.	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE $\int$ MON	TH(S) FROM THE MAILIN	IG DATE OF THIS
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provision from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period st</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	(30) days, a reply within the statutory minimall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) days will be cons m the mailing date of this commu ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	sidered timely. nication. & 133)
Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			•
Since this application is in condition for allo accordance with the practice under Ex parte	wance except for the formal matt	ers, prosecution as to th	e merits is cl sec
Disposition of Claims	3 Quayle, 1905 C.D. 11, 405 O.G	. 213.	
Claim(s) 1 - 29		islare pending in this	onnlication
Of the above claim(s)		•	
Claim(s)			consideration.
Claim(s)			
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
Claim(s) 1 - 29		are subject to restricti	on or election
Application Papers		requirement.	or or election
The proposed drawing correction, filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required	is approved or	disapproved by the E	xaminer.
The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any objection	re accepted or abjected	to by the Examiner.	a)
The specification is objected to by the Exam		Junes. 600 07 07 17 1.00(1	<b>a</b> ).
The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂ (a)-(d) or (f)	
All Some* None of the:		(4) (3) (1).	
Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been received	d.	
Conies of the certified conic	ty documents have been received	in Application No	_·
in this national stage applica	s of the priority documents have ation from the International Burea	been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional apr	plication).
The translation of the foreign langua	age provisional application has be	en received.	•,-
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom Attachment(s)		120 and/or 121.	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-14 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	Notic	view Summary, PTO-413 ce of Informal Patent Appl	ication, PTO-152
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev S Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (07/01)	riew, PTO-948	er	

Application/Control Number: 09/889,834 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-26, drawn to a subcombination packing apparatus.

Group II, claim(s) 27-29, drawn to a combination invention utilizing the packing apparatus to secure elongated members.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the combination does not require the particulars of the subcombination in as much as at least means for retaining as defined is not required.

  Moreover, the subcombination has separate utility such as use in securing a single plate load etc...
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

crossbar species of figure 2 vs figure 7 vs figure 8

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

No claims appear to be generic.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each bar member defines unique structure which is potentially patentably distinct - e.g. the padding surrounding the embodiment of figure 8, the channel structure of figure 7 vs the solid bar structure of figure 8 etc.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,834

Art Unit: 3612

6. Due to the complexity of the above unity of invention/election, the requirement is being

submitted to applicant in written form to allow ample time to address the issues raised.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556.

stg

April 24, 2003

STEPHENT GORDON
ONLY PHASMINER

Page 4